

# On Brickman's theorem

Elmar Lasa([elasa@clemsn.edu](mailto:elasa@clemsn.edu))

April 2, 2026

# Contents

Overview

Brickman's Initial proof

Modern proof

Concluding remarks

$V$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.

**Complex structure** on  $V$

(cf., [ncatlab.org/nlab/show/complex+structure](http://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/complex+structure)) :  $J \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  s.t.  
 $J \circ J = -Id_V$ .

Example :  $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  (symplectic matrix).

$\phi : V \longrightarrow V$  is said to be linear if  $\phi \circ J = J \circ \phi$

**Hermitian form** on  $(V, J)$  : real-bilinear form  $h : V \otimes V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that is sesquilinear : (i)  $h$  is complex-linear in first argument ; (ii)  
 $h(v, u) = h(u, v)^*$ .

- ▶ **Positive definiteness** :  $h$  is positive definite if (1)  $h(v, v) \geq 0$  and (2)  $h(v, v) = 0$  if and only if  $v = 0$ . Then the complex vector space  $((V, J), h)$  is called a **Hermitian space**.
- ▶ If *complete* : it's a Hilbert space.
- ▶ Define  $g(-, -) = \operatorname{Re}(h(-, -))$  and  $w(-, -) = \operatorname{Im}(h(-, -))$ . Then  $G : V \otimes V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a real bilinear form while  $\omega : V \wedge V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an alternating real-bilinear form.
- ▶ *Fact* :  $h = g - i\omega$
- ▶  $\operatorname{Spec} J = \{\pm i\}$  ( $J$  extension on  $V_{\mathbb{C}} := V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ .)

$C \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

- ▶ **Initial investigation** : On the field of values of a matrix (Louis Brickman)
- ▶  $W(C) := \{\langle C\bar{x}, x \rangle : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|x\| = 1\}$

$W(C)$  is **compact** and **connected**.  $Q : x \longmapsto \langle C\bar{x}, x \rangle$  continuous ;  
then  $Q(x^{(k)}) \longrightarrow y \in \mathbb{C}$  for some  $\{x^{(k)}\} \subset W(C)$  converging to  $x^{(\infty)} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  implies  $y = Q(x^{(\infty)})$ . Since  $\partial B(0, 1) (= S^{n-1})$  compact :  $x^{(\infty)} \in \partial B(0, 1)$ . Additionally,  $W(C) = Q(\partial B(0, 1))$  is connected.

Is  $W(C)$  convex?

- ▶ **Toeplitz** showed  $W(C)$  has a “*convex outer boundary*”;
- ▶ and later **F. Hausdorff** proved  $W(C)$  itself is convex.
- ▶ “*Convex hull of a certain algebraic curve of degree  $n$  obtained from  $C$ ”<sup>1</sup>*

---

1. cf., R. Kippenhahn, , *Über den Wertevorrat einer Matrix*, Math. Nachr. vol. 6 (1951) pp. 193-228

Let  $C = A + iB$  be the *unique Hermitian decomposition*, then we obtain :

$$W(A, B) := \{ \langle A\bar{x}, x \rangle, \langle B\bar{x}, x \rangle : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|x\| = 1 \}$$

What about

$W(M, N, L) := \{ (\langle M\bar{x}, x \rangle, \langle N\bar{x}, x \rangle, \langle L\bar{x}, x \rangle) : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|x\| = 1 \}$  ? **No longer convex!** (Brickman, boundary)

**Real analog :**

$$R(A, B) = \{ (\langle Ax, x \rangle, \langle Bx, x \rangle) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\| = 1 \}$$

where  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  are symmetric positive definite matrices.

- ▶ Brickman ([1]) : for  $n \geq 3$ , we have  $R(A, B) = W(A, B)$ ;
- ▶ it's **true** precisely if  $R(A, B)$  is **convex**.

# Brickman's original proof

$$X := R(A, B) = \{(\langle Ax, x \rangle, \langle Bx, x \rangle) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

## Theorem

If  $n \geq 3$ ,  $X$  is convex.

*Proof.*

**Idea** : study the inverse image under

$\partial B(0, 1) \ni x \longmapsto (\langle Ax, x \rangle, \langle Bx, x \rangle)$  of any line  $as + bt + c = 0$  and show it intersects the  $st$ -plane into a connected subset of  $\partial B(0, 1)$ .

- ▶ Work within  $P^{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ ; identify  $[x]$  with  $x$ .
- ▶ Define  $\Phi : x \longmapsto (\xi(x), \eta(x))$  where  $\xi(x) := \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\|x\|^2}$  and  $\eta(x) := \frac{\langle Bx, x \rangle}{\|x\|^2}$  (independent or representative).
- ▶  $\Phi(P^{n-1}(\mathbb{R})) \subset X$ .

- The preimage of any line  $as + bt + c = 0$  in  $st$  plane is  $\left\{x \in P^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}) : a \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\|x\|^2} + b \frac{\langle Bx, x \rangle}{\|x\|^2} + c = 0\right\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} a \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\|x\|^2} + b \frac{\langle Bx, x \rangle}{\|x\|^2} + c = 0 &\iff \frac{\langle aAx, x \rangle + \langle bBx, x \rangle + \langle cx, x \rangle}{\|x\|^2} = 0 \\ &\iff \langle (aA + bB + cI)x, x \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Need to prove the hyperconic is connected!

*The connectedness is shown by Induction.*

- ▶ The base case  $n = 3$  corresponds to the ordinary projective conic which is connected.
- ▶ Suppose : all  $Q_{n-1}$  connected in  $P^{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $n \geq 3$
- ▶  $Q_n \cap \mathcal{H}$  is a hyperconic  $Q_{n-1}$  which is connected, where  $\mathcal{H}$  is any hyperplane through two arbitrary points in  $Q_n$ . □

**Remark** (i) Brickman provides a counter-example dismissing the case associated to three hermitian forms(cf., op.cit., p.63); (ii) *Issue* : use of projective space  $P^{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ .

- ▶ Due to Juan Enrique Martínez-Legaz ([4]);
- ▶ **Idea** : 'Euclideanize' the proof of the convexity of  $X$ .

## Lemma

Let  $S \in M_3(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$Z := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| = 1, \langle Sx, x \rangle = \gamma\} = Y \cup (-Y)$$

where  $Y$  is connected and  $-Y := \{-y : y \in Y\}$  is the antipodal copy of  $Y$ .

- ▶ Let  $Q : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $Q(x) = \langle Sx, x \rangle$ .  $Q$  is continuous. We have  $Z = \partial B(0, 1) \cap Q^{-1}(\gamma)$ ;
- ▶ The level set  $Q^{-1}(\gamma)$  is a quadric surface;
- ▶ Wlog  $S$  is symmetric otherwise use  $A = \frac{S+S^T}{2}$ ;  $S = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  where  $\lambda_i$  eigenvalues.

Fact :  $Q^{-1}(\gamma)$  has at most two connected components. Setting  $t_i = x_i^2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , yields the 2-simplex  $\left\{ t = (t_1, t_2, t_3) \geq 0 : \sum_{i=1}^3 t_i = 1 \right\}$ .

The solution set of  $\sum_{k=1}^3 \lambda_k t_k = \gamma$  (linear equation) in this simplex is therefore either  $\emptyset$ , a single point, a line segment or the whole 2-simplex. 'Pulling back' through  $t_i \mapsto \pm\sqrt{t_i}$  yields at most two connected components.

*Consequence* :  $Z$  has at most two connected components. In the case where  $Z$  is disconnected,  $Z$  must be symmetric under  $x \mapsto -x$  therefore has two antipodal connected components.  $\square$

**Back to Brickman.** Let  $V$  be an i.p.s., with  $\dim(V) \geq 3$ , and let  $A, B \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  be given. Then

$$C = \{(\langle Ax, x \rangle, \langle Bx, x \rangle) : x \in V, \|x\| = 1\}$$

is convex.

*Proof.* Case  $n = 3$  : wlog  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ . Consider any arbitrary line  $L : \alpha s + \beta t + \gamma = 0$  in the  $st$  plane.

▶ What's  $C \cap L$ ?

▶  $(\langle Ax, x \rangle, \langle Bx, x \rangle)$  belongs to  $L$  iff

$0 = \alpha \langle Ax, x \rangle + \beta \langle Bx, x \rangle + \gamma = \langle (\alpha A + \beta B + \gamma I)x, x \rangle$ . Setting

$S_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} := \alpha A + \beta B + \gamma I$ , we have that

$C \cap L = \{(\langle Ax, x \rangle, \langle Bx, x \rangle) : \|x\| = 1, \langle S_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} x, x \rangle = 0\} =: X_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ .

- ▶  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \supset S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $T(x) = (\langle Ax, x \rangle, \langle Bx, x \rangle)$   
(continuous)
- ▶  $X_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} = Y_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \cup (-Y_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma})$
- ▶ We have that  $C \cap L = T(X_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}) = T(Y_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma})$ .

**General case.**  $\dim V \geq 3$ . Let  $(\langle Ax^i, x^i \rangle, \langle Bx^i, x^i \rangle)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  be any two points of  $C$  and let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  be given.

- ▶ Let  $\{w^1, w^2\}$  be an ONB spanned by  $x^1, x^2 \in \text{span}\{w^1, w^2\}$  or any ONB containing  $x_1$  when  $x^1$  and  $x^2$  are colinear. Pick  $w^3 \in (\text{span}\{w^1, w^2\})^\perp$  with  $\|w^3\| = 1$ .
- ▶ Consider  $W := \text{span}\{w^1, w^2, w^3\}$ ,  $\tilde{A} = (a_{ij})$ ,  $\tilde{B} = (b_{ij}) \in M_3(\mathbb{R})$  where  $a_{ij} = \langle Aw^i, w^j \rangle$  and  $b_{ij} = \langle Bw^i, w^j \rangle$ .
- ▶ Define  $\varphi : W \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  by  $\varphi(w^i) := e^i$  (an isometry : ONB onto an ONB.)
- ▶ On the one hand we have  $\langle Aw^i, w^j \rangle = a_{ij}$ . On the other, we have  $\langle \tilde{A}\varphi(w^i), w^j \rangle = \langle \tilde{A}e_i, e_j \rangle = a_{ji} = a_{ij}$  (symmetric?).
- ▶ Thus  $\langle Aw, w \rangle = \langle \tilde{A}\varphi(w), w \rangle$  and  $\langle Bw, w \rangle = \langle \tilde{B}\varphi(w), w \rangle$

▶  $\left\{ \left( \langle \tilde{A}u, u \rangle, \langle \tilde{B}u, u \rangle \right) : u \in \mathbb{R}^3, \|u\| = 1 \right\}$  is convex ;

▶ then

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) \left( \langle \tilde{A}\varphi(x^1), \varphi(x^1) \rangle, \langle \tilde{B}\varphi(x^1), \varphi(x^1) \rangle \right) \\ & \quad + \lambda \left( \langle \tilde{A}\varphi(x^2), \varphi(x^2) \rangle, \langle \tilde{B}\varphi(x^2), \varphi(x^2) \rangle \right) \\ & = \left( \langle \tilde{B}(u), u \rangle, \langle \tilde{B}u, u \rangle \right) \text{ for some } u \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ with } \|u\| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

▶ Use  $\langle *x^i, x^i \rangle = \langle *\varphi(x^i), \varphi(x^i) \rangle$  and  $\langle *u, u \rangle = \langle *\varphi^{-1}(u), \varphi^{-1}(u) \rangle$  to conclude, where  $*$  =  $A$  or  $B$ .

# Concluding remarks

Paper : Permanently Going Back and Forth between the “Quadratic World” and the “Convexity World” in Optimization[3]&[2]



Louis Brickman.

On the field of values of a matrix.

*American Mathematical Society*, 12(1) :61–66, Feb., 1961.



O. Güller.

*Foundation of Optimization*.

Springer, 2010.



J-B Hiriart-Urruty and Mounir Torki.

Permanently going back and forth between the “quadratic world” and the “convexity world” in optimization.

*Applied Mathematics & Optimization*, 45(2) :169–184, 2002.



Juan Enrique Martinez-Legaz.

On brickman's theorem.

*J. Convex Anal*, 12(1) :139–143, 2005.